RESEARCH

Open Access



Can complete blood count inflammatory parameters in epithelial ovarian cancer contribute to prognosis? - a survival analysis

Mehmet Ufuk Ceran^{1*}, Umit Tasdemir¹, Eser Colak¹ and Tayfun Güngör²

Abstract

Subjective: The aim of the present study was to investigate the prognostic significance of preoperative complete blood count inflammatory markers in women operated for invasive Epithelial Ovarian Cancer (EOC).

Method: Two hundred forty four patients that underwent operation with the diagnosis of invasive EOC between 2006 and 2014 were included in the study. The date of operation, date of recurrence and final mortality evaluations were performed for survival analysis. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were separately calculated with ROC analysis. Survival analysis was carried out with Kaplan Meier-Log Rank Method.

Results: Five-years overall survival rate was 56, 9% and 5-year disease-free survival (DFS) rate was 45,5%. Advanced disease stage, moderate-poor tumor differentiation, and the presence of recurrence were determined to have significant inverse relation at mean survival and 5-year survival rates. Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and Platelet lymphocyte ratio (PLR) had prognostic effect on both DFS and overall survival based upon the cut-off values determined in the study (PLR = 231, s36, NLR = 3,83). Histopathological subtypes were not found to have any prognostic value. In correlation analysis, PLR and NLR had positive correlation with each other and negative correlation with overall survival.

Conclusions: Inflammatory markers such as NLR and PLR have independent prognostic value for women who undergo surgery for invasive EOC.

Keywords: Epithelial ovarian cancer, Survival, PLR, NLR

Introduction

Ovarian cancer is tenth most common one in all female cancers, and the fifth leading cause of cancer deaths in women. Moreover, it is the second most common type of gynecologic cancer and is the deadliest one [1]. Ovarian cancer has spread to sites has already metastasized outside the pelvis at the time of? diagnosis in 66% (two-third) of cases [2]. The majority (90%) of ovarian cancers are of epithelial cell origin [3]. The 5-year survival rate for patients with stage I and stage IV cancer disease? by qualified surgical staging are about 90%, 13,4%, respectively [4, 5]. The most important prognostic factors are the stage of disease at diagnosis and the residual tumor volume [2]. Pathogenesis is not very clear and some hypotheses have been proposed over the years. The incessant ovulation theory was first proposed by Fathalla in 1971 and emphasize the relationship between lifelong ovulation number and ovarian cancer [6]. Other hypotheses are the Gonadotropin Hypothesis based on high estrogen levels [7], the Inflammation Hypothesis [8], and the Retrograde Menstruation Theory [9]. The hypothesis on Type 1 and Type 2 molecular pathways in the development of epithelial cancer, which have been supported by these hypotheses, is accepted [10].



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: mehmet.ufuk.ceran@gmail.com

¹Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Baskent University School of Medicine, Konya Medical and Research Center, Selcuklu, Konya, Turkey Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

In recent years studies have focused on molecular basis of inflammation in cancer development. In recent cyto-genetic study, the working principle of immune system consisting of three phases (elimination, equilibrium and escape), working as dual host-protective and tumor-promoting actions, are emphasized. Tumor cells that defeat the immune response and pass through the 3rd phase are thought to originate from cancer stem cells (CSC) and are effective in resisting to treatment strategies [11]. Approximately two decades ago it was discussed that chronic inflammatory processes can induce carcinogenic pathway via oxidative damage on DNA that has mutagenic effect (such as p53 gene mutation) [12]. The more recent approach, however, is that a microenvironment and subsequent remodeling to transform epithelial cells through proinflammatory cytokines released after ovulation is involved in the onset and progression of Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) [13, 14]. In this process, oncogenic activation expressed by inflammation and proinflamatory transcription factors (such as NF- κ B, STAT3 or HIF1 α) cause inflamattory response network. Cytokines, chemokines (such as TNF- α , IL-6) and inflammatory enzymes (COX-2, cyclo-oxygenase 2) catalyze prostaglandin synthesis to function tumorogenesis process [15].

Our study is based on the Inflammation Hypothesis, which is believed to be the underlying mechanism for ovarian carcinogenesis [8]. Several studies have shown that elevated neutrophil count [16], platelet count [17], NLR [18, 19], and PLR [20] are associated with adverse clinicopathologic outcomes for many types of cancer including EOC. It is denoted that high levels of C-reactive protein may also be associated with ovarian cancer. [21]. So we considered to investigate the prognostic effect of an easily accessible and inexpensive inflammation marker such as neutrophil lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet lymphocyte ratio (PLR). The aim of this study is to investigate whether preoperative complete blood count (CBC) inflammatory markers (PLR, NLR) have a prognostic value based on overall survival and disease-free survival (DFS) rates in patients who were histopathologically diagnosed with invasive EOC.

Material and methods

This retrospective cohort study included the patients who underwent surgery in the Gynecological Oncology Clinic of Zekai Tahir Burak Women's Health Research and Education Hospital between January 2006–December 2013 and who had an EOC based on histopathological examination. After approval of the study by Institutional Board of the hospital data was collected from hospital database. Patients' age, parity, menopausal status, type and date of operation, chemotherapy treatment, date of recurrence (if there were recurrence symptoms) and survi status since February 2014 before the statistical analysis, histopathological subtypes, and stage and grade of the disease were recorded. CBCs and tumor markers that were taken prior to the operation or that were the closest to this date were also recorded. The WBC, neutrophil, lymphocyte, and platelet values were recorded separately from CBC results. Then, the neutrophil/lymphocyte and platelet/lymphocyte ratios to be studied were individually calculated.

Patients with clinical infectious diseases who had a high WBC count were excluded from the study. Patients with systemic diseases affecting WBC and platelet counts such as immunodeficiency and splenectomy were also excluded from the study.

The pathology results of the patients were examined. Accordingly, the patients who were diagnosed with invasive EOC were included in the study. Patients diagnosed with borderline epithelial tumors, sex cord-stromal tumors, germ cell tumors, and metastatic ovarian cancer were excluded from the study by considering their biological behaviors. In addition to the histological diagnosis of the patients, the stage of the disease and the degree of differentiation of the tumor were recorded.

During the follow-up after surgical cytoreduction, the criteria for presence of recurrence were determined based on imaging methods (ultrasound, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging), CA125 values, secondary cytoreduction, or results and findings of second-look laparotomy. Surgical staging for the patients was performed according to the 1988 FIGO staging system due to the retrospective nature of the study [22]. After surgical staging, Stage I and II disease were categorized as Early Stage, and Stage III and IV disease as Advanced Stage.

Final status assessment before survival analysis was performed based on the data of the Central Population Registration System within the Ministry of Interior General Directorate of Population and Citizenship Affairs if patient ID number was identified. It was performed by reaching the patients or their relatives via telephone if patient ID number could not be confirmed. DFS was defined as survival analysis considering the presence of recurrence symptoms in the patients. Overall survival was defined by considering patients survival status.

For statistical analysis The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS, version 21.0) was used. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, the Shapiro-Wilk test, and the coefficient of variability were used to examine whether the data showed a normal distribution. The Independent-samples t-test and the Mann-Whitney U (Exact) test were used to compare two independent groups. The One-Way Anova (Robust Test: Brown-Forsythe) was used to compare multiple groups with each other. The LSD test was used for post-hoc analysis. The Spearman's RHO test was used to examine the

correlations between the variables. The Pearson's Chi-Square (Exact) test was used to compare categorical data. The effects of risk factors on mortality and survival time were analyzed by the Kaplan-Meier (product limit method) Mantel-Cox method. The Cox Regression (Enter Method- Backward Stepwise (Wald)) model was used to measure the effects of prognostic variables on survival time according to the main factor. The relationship between the classification, which was determined by the cut-off value calculated according to the variables of the patient groups, and the actual classification was established using the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value. These values were analyzed by the ROC Curve Analysis and then were expressed. Quantitative data were expressed as mean ± SD (standard deviation) and median ± IQR (interquartile range). Categorical data were expressed as number (n) and percentages (%). Data were analyzed at a 95% Confidence Interval, and a p value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Three hundred sixteen patients with malignant ovarian tumors who underwent surgery in the Gynecological Oncology Clinic were detected for analysis primarily. Seventy two patients who are not proper for the study according to criteria were excluded. 244 patients were included for final analysis.

The mean age of the patients was 52.4 ± 11 years (range: 26–86). Approximately 56% of the patients were diagnosed in the postmenopausal period. According to staging, 35.7% were diagnosed at Early Stage, and 64.3%

 Table 1 Categorical characteristics of the patients in the study group

		Number (n:244)	Percent (%)
Age		mean ± sd	
Menopause	Premenopausal	106	43,4
	Postmenopausal	138	56,6
Stage	Early	87	35,7
	la	36	14,8
	lb	4	1,6
	lc	27	11,1
	lla	13	5,3
	llb	4	1,6
	llc	3	1,2
	Advanced	157	64,3
	Illa	3	1,2
	IIIb	3	1,2
	lllc	104	42,6
	IV	47	19,3

at Advanced Stage (Table 1). The median values of PLR and NLR were 166.7 and 2.8, respectively. Median overall survival was calculated as 39.5 months, and the median DFS was calculated as 24.5 months. The cut-off values of PLR and NLR were 231 and 3.83, respectively (Table 2) PLR had the highest specificity with 84.2% (Fig. 1).

Based on PLR cut-off value; there was a significant difference for overall survival (median, IQR: 39.0 ± 37.0 , 24.5 ± 32.0 months, p < 0.001) and DFS (median, IQR: 29.0 ± 35.0 , 17.0 ± 19.0 months, p < 0.001),but no significant difference was observed for WBC count and hemoglobin value. The cut-off value of NLR was 3.83. Accordingly, the mean overall survival time was found to be 39.5 ± 37.0 months and 28.0 ± 32.0 months, respectively. Moreover, these values for DFSwere found to be 27.0 ± 35.0 months and 19.0 ± 23.0 months, respectively. The differences for both parameters were statistically significant.

Overall survival rate reduced significantly in patients with advanced stage disease, moderate or poor histopathological differentiation, platelet count more than 400,000/mm³, and over PLR-NLR cut-off values (Table 3). Advanced-stage disease, presence of recurrence, and over PLR cut-off value were found to increase mortality 7.66 times, 3.6 times, and 2.53 times, respectively. It was also concluded that they reduced overall survival rates. Similarly, a 1.19-fold increase was detected in mortality in the patients with thrombocytosis (platelet count> 400,000/mm³)(Table 4).

Over PLR and NLR cut-off values, Advanced-stage disease, moderate and poor histopathological differentiation, thrombocytosis, and elevated CA125 level produced significant changes on disease-free survival. (Table 5). In multiple regression analysis, advanced-stage disease led to a 7.46-fold increase compared to early-stage disease, moderate and poor histopathological

Table 2 The cut-off, PPD, NPD, sensitivity, specificity values calculated according to the survival status of the patients in the study group in terms of the examined parameters

Variable	Cut Off value	Survival	Survival		
		Still alive	Exitus		
		n	n		
PLR	< 231,36	128	49	< 0,001	
	> 231,36	24	42		
	Sensitivity/Specificity	PPV/NPV	AUC ± Se		
	46,2% / 84,2%	63,6%/72,3%	0,659 ± 0,037		
NLR	< 3,83	122	48	< 0,001	
	> 3,83	30	43		
	Sensitivity/Specificity	PPV/NPV	AUC ± Se		
	47,3%/80,3%	58,9%/71,8%	0,674 ± 0,036		

Roc Curve Analysis, Se Standard error, AUC Area under the ROC curve, PPV Positive predictive value, NPV Negative predictive value



differentiation led to a 2.48-fold increase compared to well histopathological differentiation, and presence of thrombocytosis led to a 1.8-fold increase compared to absence of thrombocytosis, significantly. Correlation analysis showed that PLR was weakly and negatively correlated with overall survival and disease-free survival, and was moderately and positively correlated with CA125 value. There was no correlation between PLR and WBC count. Similar to PLR, NLR was weakly and negatively correlated with overall survival and disease-free survival, and was moderately and positively correlated with CA125 value. Unlike PLR, there was a moderate correlation between NLR and WBC count. A strong correlation was found between PLR and NLR.

Discussion

EOC is still the deadliest gynecological cancer due to the inability to fully understand the underlying biological mechanism. The overall 5-year survival rate varies between 31 and 53%. Although it depends on biological behavior of the tumor, factors associated with the patient, and the treatment applied, the prognosis is still not at the desired level [23, 24]. Chronic inflammation, defined as a risk factor in EOC, can occur in infections, autoimmune diseases, and benign and malignant tumors [25]. It is known that inflammation contributes to the development and progression of various cancers such as gastrointestinal system cancer [26], lung cancer [27], breast cancer [28], prostate cancer [29], especially

	Table 3	The relationship	between one,	three and	five year	overall :	survival of	f patients ar	nd categorized	parameters
--	---------	------------------	--------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-------------	---------------	----------------	------------

Variable		Number of deaths	One year overall survival	Three years overall survival	Five years overall survival	P value
Stage	Early	8	98,90%	92%	90,10%	< 0,001
	Advanced	83	84,70%	58,40%	35,40%	
Grade	Well (1)	10	95,80%	86,70%	84,60%	P (1−2) < 0,001
	Moderate (2)	40	90%	68,80%	46,30%	P (2-3) =0,354
	Poor (3)	41	82,90%	57,90%	38,90%	P (1−3) < 0,001
PLT	≤400	54	92,30%	79,40%	64,90%	< 0,001
	> 400	37	80,60%	44,40%	33,20%	
PLR	≤231	49	92,10%	80,30%	66,00%	< 0,001
	> 231	42	81,80%	45%	34,20%	
NLR	≤3,83	48	92,90%	80%	66,30%	< 0,001
	> 3,83	43	80,80%	49,30%	36,70%	
General		91	26–89,3%	66–70,5%	84–56,9%	-

Kaplan Meier-Log Rank (Mantel-Cox), PLT Platelet count, PLR Platelet lymphocyte ratio, NLR Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio

	B±Se.	Odss Ratio [95% CI]	P value
Recurrence (Yes)	1281 ± 0,36	3,60 [1,78-7,28]	< 0,001
Stage (Advanced)	-2036 ± 0,60	7,66 [2,35-24,92]	0,001
Ca125 (> 307,25)	0,663 ± 0,26	1,94 [1152-3267]	0,013
Thrombocytosis (Plt > 400.000)	0,651 ± 0,24	1,19 [1,19-3,08]	0,007
PLR (> 231)	-0,928 ± 0,35	2,53 [1,28-4,99]	0,008

Table 4 The factors affecting the overall survival of patients after multiple regression analysis

Cox Regression-Enter Method B: Regression Coefficient, Se Standard error, CI Confidence interval, Plt Platellet

pancreatic and colon cancer. In addition, DNA repair damage, relevant mutagens and many genetic studies are trying to elucidate the genetic map of ovarian cancer. Therefore, there are intensive studies on cancer immunoediting, molecular basis of inflammation, cytokines and expressed transcription factors [11, 13, 15]. The fact that inflammation is so up to date shows that easy and accessible inflammatory markers can be important in follow-up.

In a study conducted by Asher et al. on 235 patients undergoing surgery due to ovarian cancer, the cut-off values of PLR and NLR were determined as 300 and 4, respectively. They reported that elevated NLR and PLR were associated with poor prognosis in survival analysis [20]. In a multicenter study of Williams et al. (2014) involving 519 ovarian cancer patients, they reported that high NLR was associated with advanced-stage disease, moderate and poor histopathological differentiation, and poor prognosis. In the same study, they also noted that there was a high correlation between NLR and CA125 value [30]. In a study on this issue, Raungkaewmanee et al. examined 166 patients with invasive EOC who underwent surgery between 2004 and 2010. They emphasized that PLR was a better prognostic marker than both NLR and thrombocytosis, and that high PLR was associated with poor prognosis [31]. Cho et al. investigated the effect of NLR in predicting survival after treatment in ovarian cancer [18]. They suggested that NLR positivity (> 2.6 = cut-off) along with age and advanced disease stage were independent poor prognostic factors and that NLR positivity was the strongest predictor variable in the analysis [18]. Zhang et al. suggested that preoperative PLR was superior to other inflammatory markers such as CA 125, NLR, fibrinogen, C-reactive protein and albumin in ovarian cancer [32]. In a recent systematic review, it was concluded that PLR and NLR were promising but were not yet suitable for clinical use. They have proposed that the results should be reported consistently and comprehensively by excluding conditions affecting the immune system [33].

Cells responsible for inflammatory response, such as neutrophils, lymphocytes, and platelet, have been proposed as key factors in the recognition of tumorigenesis pathways [34]. We found PLR and NLR results similar to those reported in the literature. In our study, PLR and NLR had the highest specificity. CA125 had the highest sensitivity and the highest NPV (standard cut-off > 35). According to these results, we concluded that the standard value of CA125 was superior to the other parameters

Table 5 The relationship between one, three and five year disease-free survival of patients and categorized parameters

Variable		Number of	One year	Three years	Five years	Mean disease free survival	P Value
		Recurrence	disease free survival	disease free survival	disease free survival	Mean (month) \pm Se	
Stage	Early	10	95,30%	91,30%	85,70%	85,076 ± 2,94	< 0,001
	Advanced	98	78,30%	32,50%	18,80%	33,167 ± 2,79	
Grade	Well (1)	12	94,20%	85,70%	78,90%	80,32 ± 3,82	P (1-2) < 0,001
	Moderate (2)	49	79,20%	47,40%	30,00%	42,25 ± 3,84	P (2-3) =0,384
	Poor (3)	47	82,40%	35,70%	28,50%	38,86 ± 4,18	P (1-3) < 0,001
PLT	≤400	71	89,30%	62,60%	51,60%	59,89 ± 3,09	< 0,001
	> 400	37	70,40%	30,40%	24,30%	33,54 ± 4,33	
PLR	≤231	66	88,60%	64,40%	55,20%	61,42 ± 3,13	< 0,001
	> 231	42	73,20%	28,80%	18,70%	33,75 ± 4,38	
NLR	≤3,83	65	89,30%	62,70%	54,50%	60,4 ± 3,22	< 0,001
	> 3,83	43	73,40%	37,30%	27,60%	39,1 ± 4,52	
General		108	34-84,8%	93–55,3%	106–45,5%	54,21 ± 2,73	-

Kaplan Meier-Log Rank (Mantel-Cox), PLT Platelet count, PLR Platelet lymphocyte ratio, NLR Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio

for screening purposes. Because of high rate of false positives, CA125 assessment by adding preoperative PLR and NLR parameters can help to make the correct diagnosis. Advanced-stage disease, moderate and poor histopathological differentiation, thrombocytosis, high PLR and NLR, and elevated CA125 level produced a significant difference on disease-free and overall survival, but histopathologic subtypes did not. The fact that PLR was not correlated with WBC count while NLR was moderately and positively correlated which can be considered as an advantage. PLR may be less affected by infections and autoimmune diseases that increase WBC count.

In conclusion, we can state that the elevation in PLR, NLR, and platelet count was significantly related with poor prognosis, advanced-stage disease, poor differentiation, and high-recurrence risk in survival analysis. Considering the infections that are frequently seen especially in the clinic and the factors that increase WBC count, the prognostic value of PLR must be appreciated. We think that PLR is a promising marker if large data such as the rate of maximal cytoreductive surgery, number of lifetime ovulation cycles, and platinum resistance are included in multivariate regression analysis and more patients are followed for a longer period.

Abbreviations

DFS: Disease free survival; EOC: Epithelial Ovarian Cancer; NLR: Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio; NPV: Negative predictive value; PLR: Platelet lymphocyte ratio; PPV: Positive predictive value; WBC: White blood cell

Acknowledgements

No financial support have been declared by the authors of the study.

Funding

There is no funding or grants received in this study.

Availability of data and materials

The authors declared that the materials and data of this study were available.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript. MUC: Project development, Data Collection, Data analysis, Manuscript writing. UT: Methodology, interview and consent with patient. EC: Data analysis, methodology. TG: Project development, supervision.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This retrospective study was approved by the Health Science University Zekai Tahir Burak Women's Health Research and Training Hospital Education Planning and Coordination Committee (Decision No: 58, Date: February 26,2013).

Consent for publication

All of these patients (if the patient was dead, 1st degree relative) were interviewed and informed consent was obtained for the study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Baskent University School of Medicine, Konya Medical and Research Center, Selcuklu, Konya, Turkey. ²Department of Gynecologic Oncology, Zekai Tahir Burak Women's Health, Education and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey.

Received: 5 October 2018 Accepted: 30 January 2019 Published online: 11 February 2019

References

- Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2013;63(1):11–30.
- Holschneider, C.H. and J.S. Berek. Ovarian cancer: epidemiology, biology, and prognostic factors. In seminars in surgical oncology. 2000. Wiley Online Library.
- SM LJ., Ovarian neoplasia. In: Robboy's Pathology of the Female Reproductive Tract, n.e., Robboy SL, Mutter GL, Prat J, et al.. (Eds), Churchill Livingstone, Elsevier. Oxford, 2009: p. 601.
- Heintz AP, et al. Carcinoma of the ovary. FIGO 26th Annual Report on the Results of Treatment in Gynecological Cancer. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2006; 95(Suppl 1):S161–92.
- Muñoz KA, Harlan LC, Trimble EL. Patterns of care for women with ovarian cancer in the United States. J Clin Oncol. 1997;15(11):3408–15.
- Fathalla, M.J.O. and g. survey, Factors in the causation and incidence of ovarian cancer. 1972. 27(11): p. 751–768.
- Konishi I, Kuroda H, Mandai M. Gonadotropins and development of ovarian cancer. Oncology. 1999;57(Suppl. 2):45–8.
- Ness RB, Cottreau C. Possible role of ovarian epithelial inflammation in ovarian cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1999;91(17):1459–67.
- Graham J, Graham R. Ovarian cancer and asbestos. Environ Res. 1967;1(2):115–28.
 Shih IM, Kurman RJ. Ovarian tumorigenesis: a proposed model based on
- morphological and molecular genetic analysis. Am J Pathol. 2004;164(5):1511–8. 11. Laganà A, et al. Cytogenetic analysis of epithelial ovarian cancer's stem cells:
- an overview on new diagnostic and therapeutic perspectives. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol. 2015;36(5):495–505.
- 12. Ames BN, Gold LS, Willett WC. The causes and prevention of cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1995;92(12):5258–65.
- Smolikova K, Mlynarcikova A, Scsukova S. Role of interleukins in the regulation of ovarian functions. Endocrine Regul. 2012;46(4):237–53.
- Torres MP, Ponnusamy MP, Lakshmanan I, Batra SK. Immunopathogenesis of ovarian cancer. Minerva medica. 2009;100(5):357–70.
- Crusz SM, Balkwill FR. Inflammation and cancer: advances and new agents. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2015;12(10):584.
- Den Ouden M, et al. Whole blood cell counts and leucocyte differentials in patients with benign or malignant ovarian tumours. European J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 1997;72(1):73–7.
- 17. Gungor T, et al. The role of thrombocytosis in prognostic evaluation of epithelial ovarian tumors. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2009;279(1):53–6.
- Cho H, et al. Pre-treatment neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio is elevated in epithelial ovarian cancer and predicts survival after treatment. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2009;58(1):15–23.
- Thavaramara, T., et al., Role of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic indicator for epithelial ovarian cancer. J Med Assoc Thailand= Chotmaihet thangphaet. 2011. 94(7): p. 871–877.
- Asher V, et al. Preoperative platelet lymphocyte ratio as an independent prognostic marker in ovarian cancer. Clin Translat Oncol. 2011;13(7):499.
- Ose J, et al. Inflammatory markers and risk of epithelial ovarian cancer by tumor subtypes: the EPIC cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Prevent Biomark. 2015; 24(6):951–61.
- 22. Trimble EL. The NIH consensus conference on ovarian Cancer: screening, treatment, and follow-up. J Gynecologic oncology. 1994;55(3):S1–3.
- Miller KD, et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2016. 2016; 66(4):271–289.
- 24. Cliby WA, et al. Ovarian cancer in the United States: contemporary patterns of care associated with improved survival. 2015;136(1):11–17.
- Brower V. Feeding the flame: new research adds to role of inflammation in cancer development. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2005;97(4):251–3.
- Jaiswal M, et al. Nitric oxide in gastrointestinal epithelial cell carcinogenesis: linking inflammation to oncogenesis. Am J Physiol-Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology. 2001;281(3):G626–34.
- 27. Ardies CM. Inflammation as cause for scar cancers of the lung. Integrative Cancer Ther. 2003;2(3):238–46.

- 28. Condeelis, J., Inflammation and Breast Cancer Cancer Research, 2012. 72.
- Wang W, Bergh A, Damber JE. Cyclooxygenase-2 expression correlates with local chronic inflammation and tumor neovascularization in human prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2005;11(9):3250–6.
- Williams KA, et al. Prognostic significance and predictors of the neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio in ovarian cancer. Gynecologic Oncol. 2014;132(3):542–50.
- 31. Raungkaewmanee S, et al. Platelet to lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic factor for epithelial ovarian cancer. J Gynecol Oncol. 2012;23(4):265–73.
- Zhang W-w, et al. Preoperative platelet/lymphocyte ratio is a superior prognostic factor compared to other systemic inflammatory response markers in ovarian cancer patients. Tumor Biol. 2015;36(11):8831–7.
- Prodromidou A, et al. The diagnostic efficacy of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in ovarian cancer. Inflamm Res. 2017;1–9.
- Gregory AD, Houghton AM. Tumor-associated neutrophils: new targets for cancer therapy. Cancer Res. 2011;71(7):2411–6.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

