

Comparison of intracameral dexamethasone and intracameral triamcinolone acetonide injection at the end of phacoemulsification surgery

Sirel Gür Güngör, Begüm Bulam, Ahmet Akman, Meriç Çolak¹

Purpose: To compare the results of intracameral dexamethasone and intracameral triamcinolone acetonide injection in patients that underwent cataract surgery with phacoemulsification. **Materials and Methods:** Sixty eyes of 60 patients that underwent cataract surgery with phacoemulsification were randomized into two groups. Preoperative visual acuity of all patients was 0.5 or lower and intraocular pressures were under 21mmHg. After surgery, eyes in group 1 (30 eyes) were injected with 0.4 mg/0.1 ml dexamethasone into the anterior chamber, and eyes in group 2 (30 eyes) were injected with 2 mg/0.05 ml triamcinolone acetonide into the anterior chamber. All eyes received standard postoperative prednisolone acetate and moxifloxacin eye drops. The biomicroscopic evaluation, visual acuity, and intraocular pressure measurements were done at baseline (preoperatively) and on postoperative days 1, 7 and 30. **Results:** There were no statistically significant differences in mean visual acuity, the amount of anterior cells and flare between the two groups ($P \geq 0.05$). Mean intraocular pressure values at postoperative first day were significantly higher in group 2 than in group 1 ($P = 0.009$). The mean intraocular pressures on days 7 and 30 after surgery were not statistically different between the two groups ($P \geq 0.05$). **Conclusions:** Intracameral dexamethasone and intracameral triamcinolone acetonide were similarly effective in controlling postoperative inflammation following phacoemulsification. However, the intraocular pressures on postoperative first day were higher in patients receiving intracameral triamcinolone acetonide. The highest intraocular pressure in triamcinolone acetonide group was 24 mmHg, and stabilized in a few days, therefore using triamcinolone acetonide may impose a minimal risk to patients. Nevertheless, intracameral dexamethasone seems to be a better alternative to apply at the end of surgery to suppress the inflammation during the first 24 hours.

Key words: Dexamethasone, phacoemulsification, triamcinolone acetonide

Introduction

Although recent developments in cataract surgery have resulted in a decrease in the physical trauma related with the surgery, they have not eliminated the trauma-induced synthesis and release of inflammatory mediators.^[1] Surgical trauma elicits a cascade of ocular inflammatory reactions in eyes undergoing cataract surgery.^[2] Uncontrolled inflammation may cause complications such as cystoid macular edema, increased intraocular pressure (IOP), synechial formation, posterior capsule opacification, and secondary glaucoma.^[3]

There are several application/preferences about corticosteroid injections at the end of the phacoemulsification surgery. Some surgeons apply such injections to suppress the inflammation during the first 24 hours, as well as, other surgeons apply nothing at all apart from topical steroids.^[4,5] Subconjunctival steroid injections are still one of the most prevalent methods to prevent postoperative inflammation, but it can be painful in cases with topical anesthesia and can

cause subconjunctival hemorrhage and chemosis. In our clinic, this method had been applied to suppress the inflammation in the past. The triamcinolone acetonide (TA)-assisted anterior vitrectomy was described as an effective method to enable visualization and removal of the vitreous in complicated surgeries and in cases with vitreous loss.^[6-8] Later, it was observed that intracameral TA injection during/at the end of the surgery helped sustain a lesser degree of anterior chamber inflammation and edema on the cornea on the postoperative first day. Supporting articles^[9,10] about the safety and efficiency of this method after cataract surgeries, encouraged us to change our routine to intracameral injection of 2 mg/0.05 ml TA as of today. However, the particulate structure of the TA and its tendency to increase the IOP in some patients, forced us to substitute it with intracameral 0.4 mg/0.1 ml dexamethasone in our clinic.

The aim of this clinical study was to compare the results of intracameral dexamethasone and intracameral TA in patients, who underwent uncomplicated phacoemulsification surgery.

Materials and Methods

Sixty eyes of 60 patients underwent elective uncomplicated phacoemulsification and foldable intraocular lens implantations were enrolled in this prospective study. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained. All patients were informed about the design of the study and the procedure involved, and all gave written informed consent. A comprehensive questionnaire was completed, which included items on the patient's age, medical and ocular

Access this article online

Website:

www.ijo.in

DOI:

10.4103/0301-4738.141045

Quick Response Code:



Departments of Ophthalmology and ¹Health Care Management, Faculty of Medicine, Başkent University, Ankara, Turkey

Correspondence to: Dr. Sirel Gür Güngör, Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Baskent University, Fevzi Çakmak Caddesi, 06490, Bahçelievler, Ankara, Turkey. E-mail: sirelgur@yahoo.com

Manuscript received: 11.09.13; Revision accepted: 17.06.14

history. Inclusion criteria were the presence of a cataract that was suitable for phacoemulsification, visual acuities 0.5 or lower and intraocular pressures of 21 mmHg or lower. Exclusion criteria were: Diabetes mellitus, current use of oral or topical anti-inflammatory agents (steroidal or non-steroidal), history of steroid responsiveness, uveitis, glaucoma, pigment dispersion syndrome, pseudoexfoliation syndrome, age-related macular degeneration, corneal disease, and a history of cystoid macular edema. A detailed preoperative ophthalmic evaluation including slit-lamp examination, IOP measurement with Goldman applanation tonometry, central corneal thickness measurement with ultrasonic pachymetry and dilated fundus examination was performed.

All operations were performed by the same surgeon (AA) under topical anesthesia. Approximately 1-2 hours before surgery, phenylephrine 2.5% and tropicamide 1% eye drops were instilled. After topical anesthesia, a 2.8 mm clear corneal incision was made, after which sodium chondroitin sulphate 4%-sodium hyaluronate 2% (Viscoat, Alcon, Pharmaceuticals Ltd) was injected and 5.0 mm capsulorhexis was performed. The surgeon performed standard phacoemulsification using the phaco-chop technique. The capsular bag was expanded with sodium hyaluronate 1% (Healon, Abbott Medical Optics), and a foldable intraocular lens was implanted in the capsular bag. The viscoelastic substance was removed vigorously from the bag, the capsular fornix, and the anterior chamber in a standard fashion using an irrigation/aspiration system.

At the end of the surgery, patients were randomly allocated to one of two groups. In group 1 ($n = 30$ eyes of 30 patients), dexamethasone (Dekort, Deva Holding Inc) 0.4 mg/0.1 ml was injected into the anterior chamber through a paracentesis using a 27-gauge cannula. In group 2 ($n = 30$ eyes of 30 patients), TA (Kenacort-A®; Bristol-Myers Squibb) 2 mg/0.05 ml was injected into the anterior chamber through a paracentesis using a 27-gauge cannula. In both groups, 0.1 ml moxifloxacin 0.5% was injected into the anterior chamber. After the postoperative examination (20-24 h later), moxifloxacin 0.5% eye drop (Vigamox, Alcon, Pharmaceuticals Ltd) was prescribed five times a day for 1 week, and prednisolone acetate 1% eye drop (Pred Forte, Allergan, Pharmaceuticals Ltd) were prescribed five times a day with a one drop/week taper over five weeks.

Patients were examined on the postoperative days 1, 7 and 30. Postoperative evaluations included patient history regarding any ocular discomfort, Snellen visual acuity (VA), slit-lamp examination, IOP measurement and fundus examinations. Evaluation was based on efficacy, safety and tolerance criteria. Subjective complaints were scored as a 0 for no complaints or 1 for symptoms of pain, blurry vision, redness, foreign body sensation, tearing or photophobia. The major efficacy parameters assessed clinically on each visit were anterior chamber cells, anterior chamber flare and conjunctival hyperemia. Anterior chamber cells were graded as: 0 = <5 cells; 1 = mild, 5-10 cells; 2 = moderate, 10-20 cells; 3 = marked, 21-50 cells; 4 = severe, >50 cells, and 5 = hypopyon. Aqueous flare scale was scored as: 0 = none; 1 = mild (just detectable); 2 = moderate (iris details clear); 3 = marked (iris details hazy), and 4 = severe (heavy with fibrin deposits and clots). Anterior chamber cell and flare scores were determined

using the narrowest slit beam (0.5 mm) at a height of 8 mm, with maximal luminance and magnification of the slit-lamp.

Visual acuity in the study eye was measured using the Snellen VA chart and values were converted to logMAR for statistical analysis.

The preoperative IOP was measured using a Goldmann applanation tonometry, 1 day before surgery. The postoperative IOP was measured using the same Goldmann applanation tonometry 1 day, 7 day and 30 day after surgery.

All postoperative examinations were performed by the same surgeon (AA) in order to obtain consistent inflammation grading scores, and all the scores were recorded for each visit and compared between the two treatment groups.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 9.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, III, USA). Ordinal variables (anterior chamber cells and flare) were evaluated by Mann-Whitney U-test. Group comparisons of the postoperative IOPs and VA were done using independent sample test. Mean IOP changes in each group from postoperative days 1, 7 and 30 were compared using paired *t*-tests. Age and sex were compared using the Chi-square test. A $P > 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Group 1 included 16 women and 14 men with an average age of 71 ± 9.4 years. Group 2 included 20 women and 10 men with an average age of 69.8 ± 10.5 years. The two groups were comparable with respect to age and sex. There were no significant differences between the groups in age or sex ($P > 0.05$). There were no intraocular complications such as capsule rupture or zonular dialysis in any eye.

Preoperative mean VA values were similar in both groups ($P > 0.05$). There were no statistically significant differences in mean VA between the two groups at any postoperative visit ($P > 0.05$) [Table 1].

Subjective complaints of pain, blurry vision, redness, foreign body sensation, tearing and photophobia were in 5 patients in group 1 and in 6 patients in group 2 only on the postoperative first day. There was no significant difference in incidence of postoperative complaints in both groups ($P = 0.56$). There were no subjective complaints in both groups on postoperative days 7 and 30.

Injection of TA into the anterior chamber resulted in a 'snow-globe effect' of various densities at slit-lamp examination. Despite the suspension of TA crystals, it was easy to assess cell and flare between crystals. The treatment modalities used in the two groups reduced anterior chamber cells and flare equally and effectively, and no statistically significant differences were observed at any postoperative visits ($P > 0.05$) [Table 2].

There was no significant difference in corneal thickness between two groups at any postoperative visit ($P > 0.05$).

Preoperative mean IOP values were similar in both groups ($P > 0.05$). IOP values in group 1 were 16.1 mmHg (range: 12-20 mmHg) at postoperative first day.

IOP values in group 2 were 19.2 mmHg (range: 15-24 mmHg) at postoperative first day. Mean IOP values on postoperative first day were significantly higher in group 2 than in group 1 ($P = 0.009$). There were no statistically significant differences in IOP values between the two groups, on postoperative days 7 and 30 ($P > 0.05$) [Table 3].

Discussion

The intraocular injection of TA has been used for many years for the treatment of the posterior segment pathologies in which inflammation has a pivotal function. Oh *et al.*,^[11] applied TA intracamerally into rabbit eyes to investigate the effect of TA on the corneal endothelium and showed reduced microvilli, although no statistically significant differences in endothelial counts and central corneal thickness were observed at 2 hours after the experimental procedure. Chang *et al.*,^[12] showed

toxicity of TA on cultured endothelium in their experimental study. Despite the evidence of *in vitro* toxicity of intracameral TA on corneal endothelium, the use has been raised in practice to suppress postoperative inflammation after cataract surgery. Gills and Gills^[10] added TA to an anterior chamber solution for controlling inflammation after cataract surgery. As they did not find the appropriate dose, they began the dosage conservatively, with 0.25 mg and gradually increased the doses to 3.0 mg and up to 4.0 mg in diabetes patients. The authors suggested that as the TA dose was gradually increased, the number of eyes requiring postoperative steroid treatment fell from 45% at the lowest dose to 2% at a dose of 1.8-2.1 mg.

The exact cleaning time of TA crystals from the eye is unknown. The TA crystals spread throughout the eye, the iris, the wound sites, the capsular bag, and into the vitreous. Much of the TA may progress through different channels of access to the anterior chamber such as the trabecular meshwork and the iris itself.^[10,13] Jonas^[13] found detectable concentrations of TA in aqueous humour samples obtained from eyes, which had undergone intravitreal 25 mg TA injection 6 months before sampling.

One of the potential side effects of corticosteroid administration by any route is the raised IOP. Intravitreal administration of TA for therapeutic indications is known to be associated with elevated IOP.^[14,15] In the studies, in which the clinical outcomes in patients who had TA assisted anterior vitrectomy after phacoemulsification surgery complicated by posterior capsule rupture and vitreous loss was presented, clinically significant IOP elevation occurred in a small number of patients.^[6,16,17]

Karalezli *et al.*,^[18] conducted a study to evaluate the effect of 1mg intracameral TA on postoperative IOP after routine cataract surgery. The patients were randomized into two groups. Eyes in group 1 received an injection of 1 mg TA into the anterior chamber at the end of the surgery, but eyes in group 2 did not. The mean IOP values at postoperative 6 and 20-24 h were found slightly higher in group 1 than in group 2.

The high relative potency of dexamethasone may confer greater efficacy than TA when given as a single injection. Rapid aqueous volume turnover and short half-life of intraocular dexamethasone, both in the order of several hours, would help minimize the risk of steroid-induced ocular hypertension.^[19,20] This study investigated whether dexamethasone injected intracamerally at the end of cataract surgery could safely and effectively reduce postoperative inflammation compared to intracameral TA. The treatment modalities used in the two groups reduced anterior chamber cells and flare equally and effectively, and no statistically significant differences were observed at any postoperative visits ($P > 0.05$). There was no significant difference in incidence of postoperative complaints in both groups ($P = 0.56$).

Chang *et al.*,^[21] demonstrated that intracameral dexamethasone can safely be given after surgery in eyes with different types of glaucoma with minimal concern for postoperative IOP elevations. In our study, mean IOP values at postoperative first day were significantly higher in group 2 than in group 1 ($P = 0.009$). The highest IOP in the TA group was

Table 1: Visual acuity (logMAR) values for both treatment groups

	Group 1 (n=30)	Group 2 (n=30)	P value
Preoperative, mean (range)	0,78 (0,40-1,00)	0,79 (0,40-1,00)	0,12
Postoperative day 1, mean (range)	0,16 (0,00-0,22)	0,18 (0,00-0,30)	0,60
Postoperative day 7, mean (range)	0,08 (0,00-0,22)	0,07 (0,00-0,18)	0,52
Postoperative day 30, mean (range)	0,07 (0,00-0,18)	0,07 (0,00-0,18)	0,54

logMAR = Logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution

Table 2: Comparison of inflammation scores (anterior chamber cells, flare) between the two groups

	Group 1 (n=30)	Group 2 (n=30)	P value
Cells			
Postoperative day 1, median (range)	1,8 (0-2)	1,6 (0-2)	0,33
Postoperative day 7, median (range)	0,3 (0-1)	0,2 (0-1)	0,42
Postoperative day 30, median (range)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	1,00
Flare			
Postoperative day 1, median (range)	0,2 (0-1)	0,3 (0-1)	0,67
Postoperative day 7, median (range)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	1,00
Postoperative day 30, median (range)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	1,00

Table 3: Mean intraocular pressure values for both groups (in mmHg)

	Group 1 (n=30) (mmHg)	Group 2 (n=30) (mmHg)	P value
Preoperative	15,1 (10-17)	14,7 (10-17)	0,54
Postoperative day 1, median (range)	16,1 (12-20)	19,2 (15-24)	0,009
Postoperative day 7, median (range)	14,2 (11-17)	14,4 (11-18)	0,94
Postoperative day 30, median (range)	14,1 (10-17)	14,0 (10-16)	0,90

24 mmHg, and stabilized in a few days. However, there were no statistically significant differences in IOP values between the two groups at postoperative days 7 and 30 ($P > 0.05$). This might be because, we used very small amount of dexamethasone (0.4 mg/0.1 ml) and TA (2 mg/0.05 ml) intracamerally and carefully excluded patients with a known family history of glaucoma or any earlier ocular hypertensive response to systemic or topical corticosteroids from the study.

This is the first study in the literature comparing the result of injection of intracameral dexamethasone and TA. Our study must be viewed in the light of some limitations. It was not a masked study: Surgery and observation were carried out by the same person and which otherwise might have affected the measured outcomes. We did not have an anterior chamber flare cell meter, and so we used slit-lamp biomicroscopy to investigate the anterior chamber cells and flare. Treatment with corticosteroids may have raised the IOP in the patients, who had glaucoma or ocular hypertension. On the other hand, this study is a prospective, randomized, clinical trial, and the operations were performed by the same surgeon on the patients of similar age and further a sex-matched group strengthens the credibility of the findings as well.

In conclusion, there are many surgeons to prefer perioperative corticosteroid injection. Intracameral corticosteroid usage may still be a better alternative, because of the adverse effects such as pain and hemorrhage due to subconjunctival steroid injections. This study demonstrates that intracameral dexamethasone and intracameral TA were similarly effective in controlling postoperative inflammation after uncomplicated cataract surgery with phacoemulsification. However, the intraocular pressures on postoperative first day were higher in patients receiving intracameral TA. This probably depends on the structure of particles of TA. Since the highest IOP in the TA group was 24 mmHg, and stabilized in a few days, in practical terms, using TA may impose a minimal risk to patients. This increase in IOP may be very important in a patient with glaucoma. Because of that intracameral dexamethasone may be a better alternative to apply at the end of surgery to suppress the inflammation during the first 24 hours.

References

- Rowen S. Preoperative and postoperative medications used for cataract surgery. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* 1999;10:29-35.
- Dick HB, Schwenn O, Krummenauer F, Krist R, Pfeiffer N. Inflammation after sclerocorneal versus clear corneal tunnel phacoemulsification. *Ophthalmology* 1999;107:241-7.
- Yaylali V, Ozbay D, Tatlipinar S, Yildirim C, Ozden S. Efficacy and safety of rimexolone 1% versus prednisolone acetate 1% in the control of postoperative inflammation following phacoemulsification cataract surgery. *Int Ophthalmol* 2004;25:65-8.
- Ahmed MS, Moly KN, Aziz MA. Use of povidone-iodine drop instead of sub-conjunctival injection of dexamethasone and gentamicin combination at the end of phacoemulsification cataract surgery. *Mymensingh Med J* 2010;19:232-5.
- Dieleman M, Wubbels RJ, van Kooten-Noordzij M, de Waard PW. Single perioperative subconjunctival steroid depot versus postoperative steroid eyedrops to prevent intraocular inflammation and macular edema after cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2011;37:1589-97.
- Yamakiri K, Uchino E, Kimura K, Sakamoto T. Intracameral triamcinolone helps to visualize and remove the vitreous body in anterior chamber in cataract surgery. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2004;138:650-2.
- Arbisser LB, Charles S, Howcroft M, Werner L. Management of vitreous loss and dropped nucleus during cataract surgery. *Ophthalmol Clin North Am* 2006;19:495-506.
- Gopal L, Bhende M, Sharma T. Vitrectomy for accidental intraocular steroid injection. *Retina* 1995;15:295-9.
- Karalezli A, Borazan M, Akova YA. Intracameral triamcinolone acetate to control postoperative inflammation following cataract surgery with phacoemulsification. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2008;86:183-7.
- Gills JP, Gills P. Effect of intracameral triamcinolone to control inflammation following cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2005;31:1670-1.
- Oh JY, Wee WR, Lee JH, Kim MK. Short-term effect of intracameral triamcinolone acetate on corneal endothelium using the rabbit model. *Eye (Lond)* 2007;21:812-8.
- Chang YS, Tseng SY, Teseng SH, Wu CL, Chen MF. Triamcinolone acetate suspension toxicity to corneal endothelial cells. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2006;32:1549-55.
- Jonas JB. Concentration of intravitreally injected triamcinolone acetate in aqueous humour. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2002;86:1066.
- Jonas JB, Degenring RF, Kreissig I, Akkoyun I, Kampeter BA. Intraocular pressure elevation after intravitreal triamcinolone acetate injection. *Ophthalmology* 2005;112:593-8.
- Williams CP, Konstantopoulos A, Rowley SA, Luff AJ. Late intraocular pressure rise following intravitreal triamcinolone injection. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2007;35:385-6.
- Angunawela RI, Liyanage SE, Wong SC, Little BC. Intraocular pressure and visual outcomes following intracameral triamcinolone assisted anterior vitrectomy in complicated cataract surgery. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2009;93:1691-2.
- Kasbekar S, Prasad S, Kumar BV. Clinical outcomes of triamcinolone-assisted anterior vitrectomy after phacoemulsification complicated by posterior capsule rupture. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2013;39:414-8.
- Karalezli A, Borazan M, Kucukerdonmez C, Akman A, Akova YA. Effect of intracameral triamcinolone acetate on postoperative intraocular pressure after cataract surgery. *Eye (Lond)* 2010;24:619-23.
- Kwak HW, D'Amico DJ. Evaluation of the retinal toxicity and pharmacokinetics of dexamethasone after intravitreal injection. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1992;110:259-66.
- Fraunfelder FT, Fraunfelder FW. *Drug-induced Ocular Side Effects*. 5th ed. Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2001.
- Chang DT, Herceg MC, Bilonick RA, Camejo L, Schuman JS, Noecker RJ. Intracameral dexamethasone reduces inflammation on the first postoperative day after cataract surgery in eyes with and without glaucoma. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2009;3:345-55.

Cite this article as: Gungor SG, Bulam B, Akman A, Colak M. Comparison of intracameral dexamethasone and intracameral triamcinolone acetate injection at the end of phacoemulsification surgery. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2014;62:861-4.

Source of Support: Nil. **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.